

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/833,740	04/13/2001	Daniel J. Drucker	016777-0463	2882
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Stephen A. Be	ent			
FOLEY & LAR	DNER		L DT LDUT	D. 000 \ 000 (000
Washington Ha	rbour		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street, 1	N.W., Suite 500			
Washington, D	C 20007-5109		DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) DRUCKER ET AL.	
Advisory Action	09/833,740		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott D. Priebe	1632	

-The M

THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue

	nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [ b) [	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee und (2) as s	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.🛛	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 October 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(0	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d	d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 9-11.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-8.
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Scott D. ( nute
	Scott D. Priebe Primary Examiner

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/833,740	DRUCKER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Scott D. Priebe	1632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.	
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify th appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	е
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).	
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by pagand line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).	је
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).	
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and	
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.	
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or for together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.	all
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8	<b>))</b> .
8.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)	).
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):	
		With respect to the pending claims and proposed claims, the term "GLP-2R" did not appear in the pending claims. Pending claims 1, 9 and 10 are as amended on 3/17/03, and claim 11 is as added on 3/17/03. With respect to the copy of the proposed claims (Appendix B), had the amendment been accepted, the brief would have been defective since the copy of the claims in Appendix B is not a clean copy. The copy of the claims attached to the brief should not contain notation or markings identifying added or deleted material. It is noted that the proposed claims would have been accepted had they	

Scott D. Priebe Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

Seatt D. Prite

been in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121. In claims 1, 10 (two occurances) and 11, "GLP-2R" does not appear in the pending claims, which were amended or added in the amendment of 3/17/03. In claim 9, "figure 7b" does not appear in claim 9 as amended 3/17/03; it recites "Figure 7b". Finally, claims 2-5 are original claims and should be identified as such, not as "previously presented. If these defects in compliance with R. 121are corrected in a future proposed amendment, such proposed claims would be entered.